

Studying EU-Russian Relations: Research Agenda in Russia and in the West

Tatiana Romanova

t.romanova@spbu.ru



- Teaching track
 - Lectures on various issues are put online (ppt + video as of the next year)
 - Model EU
 - Students' debates
- Research track
 - Looking at how EU-Russian relations have been studied in the last 25 years in Russia and in the EU
- www.euactive.ru

Some general remarks

- In Russia
 - About 60 books on EU-Russian relations with major academic publishers (including collections of articles)
 - More articles but even in specialised journals – less than 5%
- Outside Russia
 - About 45 books on EU-Russian relations with major academic publishers (including collections of articles)
 - More articles but do not dominate the agenda (although there have been a few special issues)

EU-Russian relations have not become central to the research agenda in either Russia or the EU, despite the relative importance of the two players for each other

What has been researched in Russia?

- Enlargement
- CFSP
- · Shared neighbourhood
- Economic relations
- Energy relations
- Russia's belongingness to Europe
- Cross-border cooperation (Kaliningrad)
- New initiatives (common spaces, PfM, post-PCA)
- Role of MSs in EU-Russian relations
- EU reforms and their effect
- JHA limited attention
- EU experience of the EAEU

Three changes:

- From admiring and stressing the EU's specificity to the emphasis on pragmatic cooperation, interests and specificity of Russia
- From EU-Russian cooperation to the cooperation within the WTO, and between the EU and the EAEU
- From relations, conditioned by Russian internal developments, to the focus on the EU's internal developments

How has it been researched in Russia?

- Traditions of socio-economic studies in Russia: historical approach, systemic approach and economic analysis
- Empirics-oriented research + description-oriented
- Domination of realist / neorealist thinking
- · Increased dialogue with Western scholars
 - neoinstitutionalism, actorness, enhanced cooperation, federalism, europeanisation, MLG
 - sometimes distorting, frequently just repeating Western studies
 - But: mostly kept for the research of the EU, not for the studies of EU-Russian relations
 - Some exceptions: normative power, civilian power, actorness, europeanisation, MLG, new institutionalism, neofunctionalism, constructivism

What has been researched in the EU?

- EU's internal evolution
 - Relations with Russia as a context to test various issues
 - Russian perception of the EU
 - → similar demands as to candidate countries (in terms of both rights and obligations)
 - Role of MSs in EU-Russian relations
- Russia-specialists, for who EU-Russian relations are but a particular case

Gradual rapprochement of the two approaches

- Normative power vs. pragmatic cooperation with Russia
- · Russia as a meaningful other
- non integration of Russia →
 - Russia as a security threat (rather than a partner)
 - Energy securitisation
 - Competition in the shared neighbourhood region
- Cross-border cooperation
- Limited attention to
 - Institutional developments
 - Economic cooperation
 - Enlargement
 - Cooperation in AFSJ

How has it been researched in the EU?

- Transfer of acquis communautaire →
 - europeanisation
 - institutionalism (frequently by lawyers, classical institutionalism)
- Normative power, soft power;
 - frequently just a theoretical cover for empirical studies + binary logics
- International relations:
 - Geopolitics became a buzz-word
 - Regime concepts, interdependence
- Constructivist studies

Russian research meets non-Russian research

- Despite the fact that issues repeat, their relative importance and research questions inside each subject seem to diverge
 - Enlargement, economic relations
 - Energy
 - Russia's belongingness to Europe ...
- Endogenous, internal factors of EU-Russian relations are more important for the non-Russian studies
- Russian research is more driven by empirics and realism tradition
- Non-Russian research more theoretical-oriented but with a huge dominance of international relations rather than political science or integration theories
- Low level of interpenetration, which locks not only research but also political agendas on both sides



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!